## Before the Appellate Tribunal for Electricity

(Appellate Jurisdiction)

I.A. No. 212 of 2014 in Appeal No. 65 of 2013

Dated: 13th May, 2014

Present: Hon'ble Mr. Justice M. Karpaga Vinayagam, Chairperson

Hon'ble Mr. Rakesh Nath, Technical Member

Lanco Amarkantak Power Ltd. ...Appellant(s)

Versus

Haryana Electricity Regulatory Commission ... Respondent/(s)

& Ors. Applicant

Counsel for the Applicant (s): Ms. Shikha Ohri

Counsel for the Appellant(s): Mr. Krishnan Venugopal

Mr. Deepak Khurana Mr. Vikas Mishra

Mr. Surai

Counsel for the Respondent(s): Mr. Apoorva Misra

Ms. Pallavi Mohan for R.3

Mr. Apoorve Karol

Mr. Nishant Piyosh for HPGCL

## ORDER

This is an Application for extension of time by the State Commission, the Applicant.

We have heard the learned counsel for both the parties.

Though we are not inclined to grant extension of three months time
from the date of the Application as prayed for, in view of the

2

circumstances mentioned in the affidavit, we feel that it would be appropriate to grant short extension of time by directing the State Commission to dispose of the matter by re-determination as ordered in the main Order on or before 25.06.2014. Accordingly ordered. However, it is made clear that, at any cost, no further extension of time will be granted.

Accordingly, this Application is disposed of.

(Rakesh Nath)
Technical Member

(Justice M. Karpaga Vinayagam) Chairperson

TS/js